

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,345	08/24/2001	Teruo Umemoto	. 1999/US	3613
20686	7590 05/27/2005		EXAMINER	
DORSEY & WHITNEY, LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			CREPEAU, JONATHAN	
	TEENTH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 4700			1746	
DENVER, (CO 80202-5647		DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A-41 Comment		09/939,345	UMEMOTO, TERUO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jonathan S. Crepeau	1746 .				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is signs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the rad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT that tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>c</u>	99 May 2005.					
2a) <u></u> □	<u> </u>						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	7) Claim(s) <u>52,58,61,69 and 78</u> is/are objected to.						
Applicati	on Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.					
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		* *				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the		• •				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment	(s)						
2) Notice 3) Inform Paper	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE No(s)/Mail Date	_	Mail Date brmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office action addresses claims 6, 12, 26-31, 35, 38, 45, 48 and 51-105. Claims 6, 12, 26-31, 35, 38, 45, 48 and 80-105 are allowed, and claims 52, 58, 61, 69, and 78 contain allowable subject matter. Claims 51, 53-57, 59, 60, 62-68, 70-77, and 79 are newly rejected over Uckert but these rejections were not necessitated by any amendment. As such, prosecution is reopened and this action is non-final.

In the amendment of May 9, 2005, Applicant authorized a \$600 charge for excess claim fees to deposit account no. 04-1415, and the charge was made by the USPTO on May 11, 2005. However, this fee was already charged by the USPTO on March 4, 2005. Thus, Applicant has overpaid by \$600. This money will refunded back to deposit account no. 04-1415.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 51, 53-57, 60, 62-66, 68, and 70-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Uckert et al (U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2003/0027934) as evidenced by Hawley's Condensed Chemical Dictionary, 14th edition.

Uckert et al. is directed to an electric-energy generating device which comprises a copolymer of 9-fluorenone (see formula V(a) of Fig. 8). The device may be a photovoltaic device, which may be considered to be a "battery" (see paragraph 129 and definition of "battery"

in *Hawley's*). Each of device layers 120, 130, or 140 may contain the copolymer of 9-fluorenone, the layers 120 and 140 functioning as positive and/or negative electrodes. Each electrode has a current collector (110, 150). Regarding claims 4 and 5, the electrodes may further comprise an electroconductive agent (polymer) such as polyaniline (see paragraphs 126 and 135). The layer 130 of Uckert et al. may be considered to function as an "electrolyte" because it conducts ionic (i.e., positive) charge in addition to electrons.

Thus, the instant claims are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 59, 67, and 79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uckert et al.

The reference is applied to claims 51, 53-57, 60, 62-66, 68, and 70-77 for the reasons stated above. However, Uckert et al. do not expressly teach the weight percentage of the 9-fluorenone monomer being 20% or more.

However, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because the artisan would be motivated to adjust the amount of 9-fluorenone monomer to affect the resulting characteristics of the copolymer of Uckert et al. It has been held that the discovery of an optimum value of a result effective variable in a known process is ordinarily within the skill of the art. *In re Boesch*, 205 USPQ 215

Art Unit: 1746

(CCPA 1980). As such, the claimed weight percentage is not considered to distinguish over the reference.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 6, 12, 26-31, 35, 38, 45, 48 and 80-105 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 52, 58, 61, 69, and 78 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The reasons for allowance of the instant claims have been previously set forth and remain applicable.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Crepeau whose telephone number is (571) 272-1299. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached at (571) 272-1414. The phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-1700. Documents may be faxed to the central fax server at (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,345 Page 5

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Crepeau Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746 May 23, 2005